KEY ISSUES - NRO EXCOM MEETING 4 AUGUST 1967

1. OXCART - The Agenda frequently alludes to the possibility
of continuing OXCART, and states that the monthly cost of an
OXCART extension beyond December 1967 could approximate
OSA considers to be a closer
approximation. In any event, it must be recognized that
whether or not the OXCART program is to be continued will
cease to be a budgetary question after October. The OXCART
support platform is currently headed toward a phaseout
operationally by 30 November 1967. Procurement of spares
has virtually ceased. Warehouse and bonded stocks are being
consumed. Support personnel will likewise have been phased
out and contractor-production lines closed down. In short,
an October decision to continue OXCART beyond December 1967
would mean rejuvenating the program under a complete set of
new ground rules; i.e., initial procurements, contract
renegotiations, recall of personnel, assets, and facilities.

The specific costs involved in a continuation are dependent on:

- a. The time the decision is made.
- b. The length of continuation.
- c. Whether the project is expected to be in position to operate beyond any initial continuation period.
- 2. IDEALIST The IDEALIST program is relatively stable at the moment, although about one-half of the FY 1968 budget has been withheld. It is assumed that the additional funds will be made available as the year progresses.

3. U-2R

a. There have been some misunderstandings concerning the funding procedures since the U-2R was approved for procurement. Initial estimates submitted by CIA assumed that the Agency would budget only for its own program and that we would ultimately have a fleet composed only of U-2R's. These assumptions were subsequently changed, in that CIA has been designated the System Project Officer (SPO) for the U-2R procurement, and as such will budget for both

25X1

NRO and USAF review(s) completed p SFLDET | Excluded from automatic |

25X1

Approved For Reféase 2004/12/15 : CIA-RDP70B00501R000100130027-2

CIA and USAF requirements. The assumptions were further modified in that both CIA and SAC will now operate mixed fleets (including U-2R's and the present models), which will cost more. For example, each must stock spare parts for both types of aircraft.

b.	The U-2R budget for FY 1968 includes the equipment and support necessary for both the Agency and SAC to meet individual operational concepts.
	The NRO memorandum states: "The FY 1968 submitted
	requirements were above the President's
	Budget base of Of this overage.
	is directly attributable to the SAC
	requirements and to life support systems for the
	Agency. If the SAC requirements were deleted or
	otherwise funded and our normal IDEALIST submission
	were approved, we could absorb the life
	support requirement and still stay within the
	President's Budget for the U-2R and IDEALIST

25X1 25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

c. It is further stated in the NRO memorandum that, "As a dollar indicator, more was obligated for the U-2R in FY 1967 than forecast to the EXCOM in November '66." This was not an overrun, but rather money saved from other programs during the fiscal year. It was transferred, with NRO approval, to the procurement of long-lead items for the additional four aircraft, which effected an overall savings to the Government and reduced the FY 1968 requirement by a like amount.

4. GENERAL R&D - OSA received The provision was made that R&D projects would be considered by the NRO on an individual project basis only; therefore, as additional funds are requested in this category, special studies would have to be forwarded to the NRO to secure additional funds on a project basis. This, in effect, transfers the management of the General R&D effort from the Agency to the NRO, completely eliminating any flexibility for independent research.

25X1

25X1

25X1

TOP SECRET

25X1

25X1

Satellite Programs

1. CORONA - Attention should be drawn to the	
NRO-proposed program of having only four payloads	
<u>available</u>	
The availability of	
these four payloads in this time period is based on	
the assumption that either (a) there are no failures	
in the next three years of operation, or (b) in the	•
event of a launch failure no replacement will be flown.	
Past experience indicates that it is not unreasonable	
to experience at least one failure a year. The NRO	
thinking on this issue has been "the schedule is in	
terms of total launches and not necessarily successful	
launches." Such thinking provides little or no	
flexibility for crises or expanded requirements. Agency	
recommends at least three more payloads to cover such	0.51/
contingencies	25X ⁻
2. APPLIED RESEARCH/ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY AND	
ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT - Budget requested initially	05)/4
approved The deferral of several requests for	25X1
'68 funds to continue programs initiated in FY 1967	
inhibits our ability to direct sound and orderly contractor	
efforts. Unless funds are made available there is little	
rationale for continuing our current programs since no	
meaningful results will be obtained unless funded to	
completion. It is essential for basic program management	
that we obtain an indication from the NRO of the amount of	
funds that will be made available this fiscal year in order	
to carry out proper planning and execution of our efforts.	
22 2007 1 202 Erobor Erommere our and and and and	
	05)//
	25X1